1 [Submitting Counsel on Signature Page] 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 8 9 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT 10 LITIGATION STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING RIDE RECEIPT 11 **PRODUCTION** 12 13 This Document Relates to: 14 L.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al; S.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al; K.L. v. 15 Uber Technologies, Inc., et al; M.H. v. *Uber Technologies, Inc., et al*; and *N.R. v.* 16 Uber Technologies, Inc., et al. 17 18 WHEREAS, on December 28, 2023, the Court ordered Plaintiffs to produce a bona fide 19 ride receipt from the Uber trip connected to the alleged incident, or the following information: 20 "(1) [an explanation of] why the receipt is unavailable; (2) the name, phone number, and email 21 address of the accountholder who ordered the ride; (3) the date of the ride, with as much precision 22 as is reasonably possible; (4) the starting location and ending location of the ride, with as much 23 precision as is reasonably possible; (5) any other basic information that may assist Defendants in 24 identifying the ride; and (6) if items (2) through (5) cannot be provided with precision or cannot 25 be provided at all, an explanation as to why the information is not readily ascertainable," by 26 February 15, 2024 or, for cases filed after February 1, 2024, within 14 days of the case being filed

in, removed to, or transferred to the MDL. Pretrial Order No. 5, Dkt. No. 175 at 2-3 (the "Ride

27

28

Receipt Deadline").

WHEREAS, five Plaintiffs require an extension of the deadline. WHEREAS, Uber has agreed, in the spirit of cooperation, to assent to such an extension for those five Plaintiffs. **THEREFORE**, the parties respectfully request the Court enter the parties' stipulation to extend the Ride Receipt Deadline for the plaintiff in the following five cases from February 15, 2024, to February 22, 2024: L.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al, Case No. 3:23-cv-6599; S.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al, Case No. 3:23-cv-6613; K.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al, Case No. 3:23-cv-6701; M.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al, Case No. 3:23-cv-6200; and N.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al, Case No. 3:23-2603. IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1	Dated: February 15, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
2		By: /s/ Sarah R. London
3		Sarah R. London (SBN 267083)
4		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
5		BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
6		San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
7		Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 slondon@lchb.com
8		
9		By: /s/ Rachel B. Abrams Rachel B. Abrams (SBN
10		209316)
11		PEIFFER WOLF CARR KANE CONWAY & WISE, LLP
12		555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820 San Francisco, CA 94111
13		Telephone: (415) 426-5641 Facsimile: (415) 840-9435
14		rabrams@peifferwolf.com
15		By: /s/ Roopal P. Luhana
16		Roopal P. Luhana
17		CHAFFIN LUHANA LLP 600 Third Avenue, 12th Floor
18		New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (888) 480-1123
19		Facsimile: (888) 499-1123 luhana@chaffinluhana.com
20		runana & charrimunana.com
21		By: /s/ Bret D. Stanley
22		Bret D. Stanley
23		PULASKI KHERKHER PLLC 2925 Richmond Avenue, Suite 1725
24		Houston, TX 77098 Telephone: (713) 664-4555
25		Facsimile: (713) 664-7543 bstanley@pulaskilawfirm.com
26		Counsel for Plaintiffs
27		
28		

1		
2	Dated: February 15, 2024	By: /s/ Robert Atkins
3		Robert Atkins
4		PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
5		By: /s/ Robert Atkins ROBERT ATKINS
6		RANDALL S. LUSKEY KYLE N. SMITH
7		CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS ANDREA M. KELLER
8		535 Mission Street, 24th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
9		Telephone: (628) 432-5100 Facsimile: (628) 232-3101
10		
11		Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA,
12		LLC
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		